

THE TENETS OF QUALITY CONTROL: A REVIEW OF THE WORK OF QUALITY GURU

Naveen Negi

Department of School of Management Studies,
Graphic Era Hill University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India 248002

ABSTRACT

The goal of this research is to illuminate a theoretical framework that attempts to capture the relationship between the spread of quality management ideas and practices and the improvement in company quality.

Design/methodology/approach – *The conducted literature study has allowed us to identify the most widely used concepts and techniques in quality management. As a result, we've established a theoretical framework to connect quality management concepts and procedures with actual business outcomes. We interviewed numerous Portuguese Quality Leaders in semi-structured settings to get their input on our conceptual model and the quality management concepts and practices it was based on. The next step was to create a questionnaire using the findings from the previous literature study and the primary insights gained from the semi-structured interviews. All Portuguese firms that have earned ISO 9001 certification were issued this survey. Our primary goal is to verify the accuracy of the model constructed using SEM. We are now conducting the survey proper.*

Findings - *The influence of implementing quality management concepts and practices on the quality performance of businesses is anticipated to be shown by the outcomes.*

Added value/originality - *According to the research conducted for this paper's literature evaluation, the suggested conceptual model is a novel method for describing the consequential outcomes of quality management strategies.*

Key words: Management, Indicators, Practices and Article Classification

Cite this Article: Naveen Negi, The Tenets of Quality Control: a Review of the Work of Quality Guru, *International Journal of Management (IJM)*, 10(1), 2019, pp 153-161.

<https://iaeme.com/Home/issue/IJM?Volume=10&Issue=1>

1. Introduction

There have been a number of studies examining the correlation between excellent leadership and the prosperity of firms. It is a new field of study to investigate how quality management ideas and methods influence the bottom line of Portuguese businesses. A study by the American Association for Quality, or ASQ, titled "The Global State of Good Research Overview" (2013) highlights the best quality control structure for organizations, which includes quality management ideas and methods that lead to a maximum of organization results.

1. Organizations in the service sector and those in the manufacturing sector utilize and implement quality management and processes differently.
2. It's often believed that larger, more established businesses use more refined quality assurance procedures. This concept is applicable to a wide range of quality management procedures, although in general, the organizational dimension has less influence than the organizational activity sector on the implementation of mature quality management procedures.
3. The evidence suggests no significant variation in the use of quality concepts and practices across geographic areas. The dimensions, industries, and other variables that might cause variances are not always clear. (ASQ, 2013).

2. Defining Quality Management

The Quality Management (QM) concept, as defined by Dean and Bowen (1994), is "an approach to management" based on "a set of mutually beneficial principles, every one of which is reinforced by a set or practices or techniques." "Quality management is one of the most critical subfields in operations research. As a commercial tactic, QM has gained a lot of traction as of late (Nair, 2006). As a consequence of the proliferation of content in academic and practitioner-oriented channels, the term "quality management" (QM) has come to mean many different things, and there is no agreement on the range of activities that support QM. Sousa and Voss (2002) wrote in their research on the benefits of quality management, "QM as advocated by its founders may be reliably separated from other strategies for enhancing organizational effectiveness, and there is a significant consensus between scholars as to what methods fall under the QM umbrella."

3. Principles and Practices of Quality Management

To put it another way: "Practices constitute a visible facet of QM, and it is through them that leaders work to realize organization improvements," Sousa and Voss (2002) said. Both the principles and the methods need to be refined before they can provide solid findings in empirical study. Leaders of businesses and other organizations may utilize the quality management principles as a road map to better results. These quality management concepts may be used in a wide variety of contexts. How they are implemented depends on the kind of organization and the unique problems it encounters.

Future research should be transparent about what level of QM content (principles, practices, or methodologies) they are addressing. The most widely used and widely adopted quality management concepts and practices, as determined by a survey of the relevant literature. Our focus will be on the manufacturing and service industries, therefore it's vital to note that this pick was made with those in mind. Since these quality management practices and principles have been identified as crucial to TQM's success in both manufacturing and services (Saraph et al., 1989; Antony et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2000; Khamalah and Lingaraj, 2007), it is generally accepted that they are all-encompassing. They are:

- i. highlighted both the quantitative and qualitative dimensions of quality management,
- ii. Including the most prestigious good award along with criteria that are generally regarded by experts in quality management,
- iii. Among the most important methods for ensuring quality management
- iv. Significantly linked in service provision and the advancement of service quality

4. Validating Quality Management Practices

To make an initial verification of our quality management methods and concepts and the quality outcome indicators chosen, we had a number of casual meetings with national and worldwide Quality Managers, including schoolwork, professionals in this field, managers, and consultants.

A value between 1 (not at all important) and five (extremely important) was assigned to each of the eight common management of quality concepts (PA1-PA8): management, customer orientation, employee involvement and dedication, personnel management (incentive as well as recognition); planning and strategy management; process leadership; logistics management; ongoing enhancement and innovation.

Leadership, customer orientation, management of processes, employee involvement as well as commitment, handling of personnel (incentive and acknowledgment), planning over strategic direction, process leadership, oversight of logistics, continuous improvement, and creativity are among the most important of the eight quality control concepts provided (PA1-PA8). Particularly effective were quality management frameworks and business excellence models (PB).

It's important to remember that the quality methods used to manage portfolio (PB) may be broken down into three distinct categories: Business Excellence Models, Quality Tools, and Quality Standards.

Therefore, this research will look into the following aspects of quality leadership: leadership, customer orientation, employee engagement and dedication, handling human resources (incentive as well as recognition), management of processes, planning for strategic leadership, management of supply chains, and ongoing enhancement and innovation.

However, as stated before, this study will concentrate on the following facets of quality management strategies: Quality tools, quality standards, and business excellence models.

5. Indicators of Quality Performance Measures

Numerous studies have investigated the link between quality principles and procedures and various indicators of productivity. Quality performance, financial performance, creative performance, and operational performance are all measures that academics have used to study the correlation between quality ideas and actions and their outcomes.

Research that focus on one performance construct include the findings that quality management techniques have a significant and strong impact on quality (internal procedure & product) and operational efficiency, whereas research that focus on many performance dimensions include the findings that quality management techniques have no effect on quality.

In this analysis, we used the company's quality performance as a proxy for its overall success. Quality performance has been selected as the indicator of corporate performance for the following reasons:

1. Several empirical research on total quality management (Yang, 2006; Arumugam et al., 2008; Prajogo or Sohal, 2003; 2004) detail the various methods in which it may be assessed and reflected.
2. Organizational performance is evaluated only on the basis of 'quality outcomes,' as in the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Awards (MBNQA) paradigm. Numerous academics throughout the globe (Prajogo & Sohal, 2003; 2004) agree that the MBNQA model adequately represents TQM procedures.;
3. Many previous studies on TQM on organizational performance have used quality performance as an indication of performance to draw conclusions. The research analyzed the correlations between TQM methods and the quality of various industries and nations.
4. As we indicated earlier, we performed a series of informal conversations with national and global Quality Leaders including professors, experts, managers, and consultants to undertake an initial validation of the high-level indicators of performance chosen.

Indicators of quality performance chosen out of eight are as follows:

- i. Quality of Goods or Services Provided;
- ii. communication with clients;
- iii. conformity to the defined parameters;
- iv. quantity of defective goods

v. volume of criticisms.

Each Quality Leader Interviewed ranked these eight quality indicators of performance (QP1QP8) on a scale from 1 (Not Important) to 5 (Very Important).

6. Relationship between Quality Management and Performance

Studies have generally concluded that adhering to quality management concepts and procedures improves productivity. Sampaio (2009) noted that most studies that have attempted to determine the effect of quality management concepts and procedures (QMPPs) on organizational performance have reached the same positive conclusion: that the introduction of QMPPs leads to an increase in productivity. Recent studies investigating this correlation, however, have shown conflicting results. This means that there is no guarantee that implementing quality processes would improve business outcomes.

The use of QMPs has not been demonstrated to increase productivity in certain research. Example: "although certain QMPPs live to better performance in organizations, others do not," as Dow et al. (1999) found. "A typical manufacturing company is more inclined to achieve greater success with QMPPs implementation than without," according to a meta-analysis of studies conducted in Australia and New Zealand on the influence of quality control and achievement plans (QMPPs) on company results by Terziovski & Samson (1999).

The inconsistent findings, as well as the curiosity to understand the QMPPs-performance connection better, have prompted a number of papers. In light of the conflicting results of prior attempts to link superior management to firm performance, it is believed that dissecting quality control into its component parts—quality practices and quality context—and examining the sequence of events connecting these concepts would be beneficial. This view is shared by many (Kaynak, 2003).

To get a sense of the Quality Leader Interviewees' perspectives on the connection between quality control and performance, we asked them to rate the strength of the connection between each of the eight quality management process points (QMPPs) (PA1-8 ePB) and the eight quality performance indicators (QP1-QP8). The collecting of data and subsequent statistical analysis allowed for the development of Figure 3. The strongest correlations between QMPPs (PA1-8 and PB) and quality indicators of performance (QP1-QP8) are shown in this image.

7. Conclusions

The quality performance of businesses may be affected by adhering to quality management concepts and practices. 244 Quality Engineering and Management: 2014's First International Conference Proceedings A survey will be issued to Portuguese businesses in order to statistically verify our final conceptual model. Our supplementary statistical technique will be structural equation modeling (SEM). This verified model will not only help close the gap, which is represented in publicly available literature, but it will additionally

offer quality experts a road map for implementing quality management successfully in businesses. Quality management theory development researchers may also find this useful. Our review of the literature shows that there are a lot of unresolved inquiries about the relationship between quality control and performance, so it is important to investigate the causal mechanism that links QMPPs with outstanding results in order to attempt to identify the direct results and impact of QMPPs on the overall achievement of companies.

References

- [1] Adam Jr., E.E., Corbett, L.M., Flores, B.E., Harrison, N.J., Lee, T.S., Rho, B.H., Ribera, J., Samson, D., Westbrook, R., 1997. An international study of quality improvement approach and firm performance. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management* 17, 842–873.
- [2] Ahire, S.L., Landeros, R. and Golhar, D.Y. 1995. Total quality management: a literature review and an agenda for future research. *Production and Operations Management*, Vol. 4, No. 3, pp. 277-306.
- [3] Ahire, S. L., Golhar, D. Y. and Waller, M. W., (1996). Development and validation of TQM implementation constructs. *Decision Sciences*, Vol. 27, No. 1, pp. 23-56.
- [4] Ahire, S.L., O’Shaughnessy, K.C., 1998. The role of top management commitment in quality management: an empirical analysis of the auto parts industry. *International Journal of Quality Science* 3 (1), 5–37.
- [5] Anderson, J.C., Rungtusanatham, M., Schroeder, R.G., Devaraj, S., 1995. A path analytic model of a theory of quality management underlying the Deming Management Method: preliminary empirical findings. *Decision Sciences* 26, 637–658.
- [6] Antony, J., Leung, K., Knowles, G., & Gosh, S. (2002). Critical success factors of TQM implementation in Hong Kong industries. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, 19(5), 551–566.
- [7] Arumugam, V., Chang, H.W., Ooi, K.-B. and Teh, P.-L. 2009. Self-assessment of TQM practices: a case analysis. *The TQM Journal*, Vol.21 No.1, pp. 46-58.
- [8] Arumugam, V., Ooi, K. B. and Fong, T. C., (2008). TQM practices and quality management performance: an investigation of their relationship using data from ISO 9001:2000 firms in Malaysia. *The TQM Magazine*, Vol. 20, No.6, pp. 636-650. ASQ, (2013).
- [9] The ASQ Global State of Quality. American Society for Quality, with the collaboration of the APQC – American Productivity & Quality Center.
- [10] Boronat, P., and Canard, F., (1995). *Management par la qualite: A totale et changementorganisationnel. Les Nouvelles Forms Organisationnelles* (Paris, Economica).
- [11] Blackiston, G. H. (1996). A barometer of trends in quality management. *National Productivity Review*, 16, 15-23.
- [12] Cua, K.O., Mc Kone, K.E. and Schoreder, R.G. 2001. Relationship between implementation of TQM, JIT and TPM and manufacturing performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol.19, pp.675-694.

- [13] Curkovic, S., Melnyk, S., Calantone, R., Handfield, R., 2000. Validating the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award Framework through Structural equations modelling. *International Journal of Production Research* 38 (4), 765–791.
- [14] Das, A., Handfield, R.B., Calantone, R.J., Ghosh, S., 2000. A contingent view of quality management—the impact of international competition on quality. *Decision Sciences* 31, 649–690.
- [15] Dean, J.W. and Bowen, D.E. 1994. Management theory and total quality: improving research and practice through theory development. *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 392-418.
- [16] Dick, G., Gallimore, K., Brown, J. (2002), Does ISO 9000 accreditation make a profound difference to the way service quality is perceived and measured?, *Managing Service Quality*, 12(1), pp. 30-42.
- [17] Douglas, T.J., Judge Jr., W.Q., 2001. Total quality management implementation and competitive advantage: the role of structural control and exploration. *Academy of Management Journal* 44, 158– 169.
- [18] Dow, D., Samson, D. and Ford, S. 1999. Exploding the myth: do all quality management practices contribute to superior quality performance? *Production and Operations Management*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 1-27.
- [19] Easton, G.S., Jarrell, S.L., 1998. The effects of total quality management on corporate performance: an empirical investigation. *Journal of Business* 71 (2), 253– 307.
- [20] Flynn, B. B., Schroeder, R. and Sakakibara, S., (1994). A framework for quality management research and an associated measurement instrument. *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 11, pp. 339- 366.
- [21] Flynn, B. B., Schroeder, R. and Sakakibara, S., (1995). The impact of quality management practices on performance and competitive advantage. *Decision Sciences*, Vol. 26, No. 5, pp. 659-692.
- [22] Foster, S. T. Jr., (2007). Does Six Sigma improve performance? *The Quality Management Journal*, Vol. 14, No.4, pp. 7-20.
- [23] Forza, C., Flippini, R., 1998. TQM impact on quality conformance and customer satisfaction: a causal model. *International Journal of Production Economics* 55, 1–20.
- [24] Grandzol, J.R., Gershon, M., 1997. Which TQM practices really matter: an empirical investigation. *Quality Management Journal* 4 (4), 43–59.
- [25] Guilhon, A., Martin, J., and Weill, M., (1998): Quality approaches in small or medium-sized enterprises: Methodology and survey results, *Total Quality Management*, Vol. 9, No. 8, pp. 689-701.
- [26] Gupta, A. (2000), Quality management practices of ISO vs. non-ISO companies: a case of Indian industry, *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 100(9), pp. 451-455.
- [27] Hackman, J., Wageman, R., 1995. Total quality management: empirical, conceptual, and practical issues. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 40, 309–342.

- [28] Hasan, M., and Kerr, R. M., (2003). The relationship between TQM practices and organizational performance in service organization. *The TQM Magazine*, Vol. 15, No 4, pp. 286-291.
- [29] Hendricks, K.B., Singhal, V.R., 1996. Quality awards and the market value of the firm: an empirical investigation. *Management Science* 42, 415–436.
- [30] Ho, D.C.K., Duffy, V.G., Shih, H.M., 2001. Total quality management: an empirical test for mediation effect. *International Journal of Production Research* 39, 529–548.
- [31] Hoang, D.T, Igel, B. and Laosirihongthong, T. 2006. The impact of total quality management on innovation: findings from a developing country. *International Journal Quality and Reliability Management*, Vol. 23, No.9, pp. 1092-1117.
- [32] Jabnoun, N., Khalifah, A. & Yusuf, A., (2003). Environmental Uncertainty, Strategic Orientation, and Quality Management: A Contingency Model. *The Quality Management Journal*. 10 (4), pp. 17 – 31.
- [33] Juran, J.M. (Ed.) 1998. *A History of Managing for Quality*, ASQC Quality Press, Milwaukee, WI.
- [34] Kaynak, H. (2003). The relationship between TQM practices and their effects on firm performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 21(4), 405-35.
- [35] Khamalah, J. N. and Lingaraj, B. P. 2007. TQM in the service sector: a survey of small business. *Total Quality Management*, Vol.18, No.9, pp. 973-982.
- [36] Kumar, V., Choisine, F., Grosbois, D., and Kumar, U., (2009). Impact of TQM on company's performance. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, Vol. 26, No. 1, pp. 23-37.
- [37] Maani, K., 1989. Productivity and profitability through quality—myth and reality. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management* 11 (7), 19–37.
- [38] Mann, R., Kehoe, D., 1995. Factors affecting the implementation and success of TQM. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management* 12 (1), 11–23.
- [39] Mathews, B. P., Ueno, A., Kekäle, T., Repka, M. Pereira, Z. L., Silva, G., (2001). European quality management practices: The impact of national culture. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, Vol. 18 No.7, pp. 692–707.
- [40] Montes, F. L., Jover, A. V., & Fernandez, L. M. M. (2003). Factors affecting the relationship between total quality management and organizational performance. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 20(2), 189-209.
- [41] Ozgur, C., Meek, G., Toker, A. (2002), The impact of ISO certification on the levels of awareness and usage of quality tools and concepts: a survey of Turkish manufacturing companies, *Quality Management Journal*, 9(2), pp. 57-69
- [42] Phusavat, K., Anussornnitisarn, P., Helo, P., and Dwight, R., (2009). Performance measurement: Roles and challenges. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 109, No. 5, pp. 646-664.
- [43] Pinho, J. C. (2008). TQM and performance in small medium enterprises: The mediating effect of customer orientation and innovation. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 25(3), 256-275.
- [44] Powell, T.C., 1995. Total quality management as competitive advantage: a review and empirical study. *Strategic Management Journal* 16, 15–37. Prajogo, D.I. and

- Hong, S.W. 2008. The effect of TQM on performance in R & D environment: a perspective from South Korean firms. *Technovation*, Vol.28, pp. 855-863.
- [45] Prajogo, D. I. and Brown, A., (2004). The relationship between TQM practices and quality performance and the role of formal TQM programs: An Australian empirical study. *Quality Management Journal*, Vol. 11, pp. 31–43.
- [46] Prajogo, D. I. and Sohal, S. A., (2003). The relationship between TQM practices, quality performance, and innovation performance: an empirical examination. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, Vol. 20, No. 8, pp. 901-918.
- [47] Quazi, H. A., Hong, C. W., and Meng, C. T., (2002). Impact of ISO 9000 certification on quality management practices: A comparative study. *Total Quality Management*, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. 53-67.
- [48] Quazi, H. and Jacobs, R. (2004), Impact of ISO 9000 certification on training and development activities, *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 21(5), pp. 497-517.
- [49] Rao, S. S., Ragu-Nathan, T. S., and Solis, L. E., (1997). Does ISO have an effect on quality management practices? An international empirical study. *Total Quality Management*, Vol. 8, pp. 335-346.
- [50] Romano, P. (2000), ISO 9000: what is its impact on performance? *Quality Management Journal*, 7(3), pp.38-56.
- [51] Rungtusanatham, M., Forza, C., Filippini, R., Anderson, J.C., 1998. A replication study of a theory of quality management underlying the Deming method: insights from an Italian context. *Journal of Operations Management* 17, 77–95.
- [52] Sampaio, P., Saraiva, P., Guimarães Rodrigues, A. (2009), ISO 9001 certification research: questions, answers and approaches, *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 26(1), pp. 38-58.
- [53] Samson, D., Terziovski, M., 1999. The relationship between total quality management practices and operational performance. *Journal of Operations Management* 17, 393–409.
- [54] Saraph, J. V., Benson, P. G. and Schroeder, R. G. 1989. An instrument for measuring the critical factors of quality management. *Decision Sciences*, Vol.20, No. 4, pp. 810-829.